



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. 09/201,530	11/30/1998	DONALD F. GORDON	533/173	1669
	590 07/16/2003	AN L.L.P.	EXAMINER	
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN L.L.P. 595 SHREWSBURY AVE			KOENIG, ANDREW Y	
FIRST FLOOI SHREWSBUF	RY, NJ 07702		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611 DATE MAILED: 07/16/200	, 10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

y.41	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Application No.						
	09/201,530	GORDON ET AL.	Q1				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Andrew Y Koenig	2611	drace				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover she	өт with the correspondence add	ni 499				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely by MONTHS from the mailing date of this co ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. ommunication.				
Status  1)   ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	3 May 2003 .						
,— —	This action is non-final.						
24/		al matters, prosecution as to th	e merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	a application						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 and 23-27 is/are pending in the		<b>n</b>					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	I AWIT HUITI CUTISING AUD	11.					
,							
	Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 23-27</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	aror ciccaon requiremen	•••					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		o by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b	o) disapproved by the Examin	ner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the p         application from the International     </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).	ı Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			al application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application	has been received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N otice of Informal Patent Application (P her:	o(s) TO-152)				

Art Unit: 2611

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19 and 23-27 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,314,576 to Asamizuya et al. in view of U.S. Patent 5,970,233 to Liu et al. and U.S. Patent 5,701,383 to Russo et al.

Regarding claim 1, Asamizuya teaches encoding a video frame sequence to form a storage bitstream (col. 9, II. 2-19), which is stored then is archive storage (col. 10, II. 41-48). Asamizuya teaches transmitting the video stream to subscribers (col. 10, II. 41-48).

Asamizuya is silent on teaching the claimed broadcast encoder and transmitting the bitstream at the same time as storing the bitstream.

Liu teaches encoding video frame sequences to form a broadcast stream and storing and transmitting the encoded data (col. 3, II. 36-42).

Art Unit: 2611

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Asamizuya by encoding a video frame sequence as and transmitting and storing the encoded data as taught by Liu in order to compress the data and consequently making efficient use of the bandwidth while storing and transmitting at the same time.

Asamizuya is silent on teaching switching from decoding a storage bitstream to a broadcast bit stream.

Russo teaches switching from the storage bitstream to the broadcast bit stream, where a time-shifted version of the program is transmitted and is fast forwarded until it "catches up" with the broadcasted program (col. 3, II. 31-38), clearly Russo has some form of an indicator in order to recognize that the streams should be switched.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Asamizuya by switching from decoding a storage bitstream to a broadcast bit stream as taught by Russo in order to permit the user to view the time-shifted portion of a program and upon a request fast forward up to the current broadcast, thereby enabling real-time viewing of the broadcasted information.

Regarding claim 2, Asamizuya teaches encoding video and video inherently is a high data rate bit stream, accordingly a video encoder is inherently a high data rate encoder in order to encode and compress the high data rate of the video signal.

Regarding claim 3, Asamizuya teaches encoding video from film stock or Video

Tape Recorder (VTR), whereas one of ordinary skill recognizes that the frame

sequence is not necessarily real time in film stock or a VTR. Official Notice is taken that

Art Unit: 2611

a real-time video frame sequence is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Asamizuya by implementing a VTR or video stock outputting a real-time video frame sequence in order to compress the video in real-time thus enabling the viewing of live programs and uncompressed programs.

Regarding claim 9, Asamizuya teaches encoding a video frame sequence to form a storage bitstream (col. 9, II. 2-19), which is stored then is archive storage (col. 10, II. 41-48). Asamizuya teaches transmitting the video stream to subscribers (col. 10, II. 41-48).

Asamizuya is silent on teaching the claimed broadcast encoder and transmitting the bitstream at the same time as storing the bitstream.

Liu teaches encoding video frame sequences to form a broadcast stream and storing and transmitting the encoded data (col. 3, II. 36-42).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Asamizuya by encoding a video frame sequence as and transmitting and storing the encoded data as taught by Liu in order to compress the data and consequently making efficient use of the bandwidth while storing and transmitting at the same time.

Asamizuya teaches encoding video from film stock or Video Tape Recorder (VTR), whereas one of ordinary skill recognizes that the frame sequence is not necessarily real time in film stock or a VTR. Official Notice is taken that a real-time video frame sequence is well known in the art.

Art Unit: 2611

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Asamizuya by implementing a VTR or video stock outputting a real-time video frame sequence in order to compress the video in real-time thus enabling the viewing of live programs and uncompressed programs.

Asamizuya teaches storing a previous program in order to transmit the program to the subscriber upon request (Abstract).

Asamizuya is silent on teaching switching from decoding a storage bitstream to a broadcast bit stream.

Russo teaches switching from the storage bitstream to the broadcast bit stream, where a time-shifted version of the program is transmitted and is fast forwarded until it "catches up" with the broadcasted program (col. 3, II. 31-38), clearly Russo has some form of an indicator in order to recognize that the streams should be switched.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Asamizuya by switching from decoding a storage bitstream to a broadcast bit stream as taught by Russo in order to permit the user to view the time-shifted portion of a program and upon a request fast forward up to the current broadcast, thereby enabling real-time viewing of the broadcasted information.

Regarding claim 10, the limitations of claim 10 have been addressed in the discussion of claim 2.

4. Claims 4, 11, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,314,576 to Asamizuya et al., U.S. Patent 5,970,233 to

Art Unit: 2611

Liu et al., and U.S. Patent 5,701,383 to Russo et al. in view of U.S. Patent 5,771,335 to Lee.

Regarding claim 4, Asamizuya and Liu are silent on teaching trick play bitstreams. Lee teaches a video on demand system with fast forward and reverse functions, which equate to trick play bitstreams (Abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Asamizuya and Liu by using trick play bitstreams as taught by Lee in order to provide more functionality.

Regarding claim 11, see discussion of claim 4.

Regarding claim 16, Asamizuya teaches recalling bitstreams from a storage device as requested by a subscriber terminal (Abstract). Asamizuya is silent on addressing the requested bitstream to the requesting subscriber.

Lee teaches receiving data as per the user's request (col. 2, II. 29-36), which clearly addresses the bitstream to the appropriate user in order to efficiently and effectively send data over the network.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Asamizuya by addressing bitstreams to users as taught by Lee in order to provide services to the user.

Asamizuya teaches transmitting the video stream to subscribers (col. 10, II. 41-48).

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 17, Asamizuya teaches a play bitstream, but Asamizuya and Liu are silent on teaching fast forward and fast reverse. Lee teaches both fast forward and fast reverse bitstreams (Abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Asamizuya and Liu by using fast forward and fast reverse bitstreams as taught by Lee in order to provide more control and functionality to the user thereby increasing the viewers enjoyment.

Regarding claim 18, the combination of Asamizuya, Liu, and Russo, teaches the limitation of switching from the fast forward bitstream to the broadcasting bitstream upon reaching the indicator is taught by Russo in that Russo teaches fast forwarding until the program catches up with the incoming program (col. 3, II. 31-38).

5. Claims 5-8 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,314,576 to Asamizuya et al., U.S. Patent 5,970,233 to Liu et al., and U.S. Patent 5,701,383 to Russo et al. in view of PCT WO 96/13121 to McLaren.

Regarding claims 5, and 12-14, Asamizuya and Liu teach encoders, however, they are silent on the specifics of the encoders.

McLaren teaches an encoder (fig. 4, lab. 100), which creates a standard play video frame sequence (fig. 4, lab. 101). McLaren teaches a frame subsampler (fig. 4, lab. 55, 65, and 75). McLaren teaches an encoder for producing a fast forward frame sequence and a reverse sequence (fig. 4, lab. 120,130, and 140); it should be understood that each of the encoders provide video at different rates (as determined by

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/201,530

Art Unit: 2611

the subsampling) in order to provide trick play functions, such as fast forward and fast reverse (Abstract; see also pg. 13, II. 15-18). McLaren teaches a controller (fig. 4, lab. 90).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Asamizuya and Liu by implementing the encoder of McLaren in order to provide trick play features and enabling the user to navigate through programs more efficiently.

Regarding claim 6, Asamizuya teaches encoding MPEG data (col. 8, II. 35-40), which inherently much code frames of video.

Regarding claim 7, Asamizuya and Liu are silent on encoding subsample frames of the video.

McLaren teaches subsampling frames and encoding (fig. 4, lab. 55, 65, and 75). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Asamizuya and Liu by encoding a subsample of video frames as taught by McLaren in order to facilitate fast forward and fast reverse using frames thereby enabling the user to gain more functionality and control.

Regarding claim 8, Asamizuya and Liu are silent on multiplexing frames to the subsampled frames. Clearly, both Asamizuya and Liu have controllers.

McLaren teaches a controller and subsampling the frames to apply a subsample of frames to an encoder, and applying a subsampling of a different rate to a third encoder (fig. 4).

Art Unit: 2611

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Asamizuya and Liu by subsampling the frames for the second and third encoders as taught by McLaren in order to encode frames at different rates and to support additional features to the user.

- Claims 19 and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 6. over U.S. Patent 6,314,576 to Asamizuya et al. in view of U.S. Patent 5,818,537 to Enokida et al. and U.S. Patent 5,701,383 to Russo et al.
- Regarding claims 19, 23-25, and 27, Asamizuya teaches encoding a video frame 7. sequence to form a storage bitstream (col. 9, II. 2-19), which is stored then is archive storage (col. 10, II. 41-48). Asamizuya teaches transmitting the video stream to subscribers (col. 10, II. 41-48).

Asamizuya is silent on teaching the claimed second for encoding the broadcast video and transmitting the bitstream at the same time as storing the bitstream.

Enokida teaches encoding video into MPEG via the first encoding circuit (11) that is stored into the recording medium (18) while contemporaneously encoding the compressed video with the second encoding circuit (12) for broadcasting (col. 3, II. 36-56, fig. 1, 5(a-c), col. 5, II. 26-32).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Asamizuya by encoding a video frame sequence and transmitting and storing the encoded data with separate encoders as taught by

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 09/201,530

Art Unit: 2611

Enokida in order to store high quality video while reducing the bandwidth for transmission, thereby efficiently using the bandwidth.

Asamizuya is silent on teaching switching from decoding a storage bitstream to a broadcast bit stream.

Russo teaches switching from the storage bitstream to the broadcast bit stream, where a time-shifted version of the program is transmitted and is fast-forwarded until it "catches up" with the broadcasted program (col. 3, II. 31-38), clearly Russo has some form of an indicator in order to recognize that the streams should be switched.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Asamizuya by switching from decoding a storage bitstream to a broadcast bit stream as taught by Russo in order to permit the user to view the time-shifted portion of a program and upon a request fast forward up to the current broadcast, thereby enabling real-time viewing of the broadcasted information.

8. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,314,576 to Asamizuya et al., U.S. Patent 5,818,537 to Enokida et al., and U.S. Patent 5,701,383 to Russo et al. in view of U.S. Patent 5,771,335 to Lee.

Regarding claim 26, Asamizuya teaches a play bitstream, but Asamizuya and Enokida are silent on teaching fast forward and fast reverse. Lee teaches both fast forward and fast reverse bitstreams (Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Asamizuya and Enokida by using fast forward and fast reverse as taught by Lee in

Art Unit: 2611

order to enable the viewer to control the display thereby providing a more interactive, environment and more flexibility to the user.

Page 11

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Y. Koenig whose telephone number is (703) 306-0399. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:30 - 6:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Faile can be reached on (703) 305-4380. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

ayk July 10, 2003 ANDREW FAILE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600